



Reducing the Risk of Chemical Clusters by Formation of Chemical Parks

**Christian Jochum, Prof. Dr.
InWEnt Senior Advisor, Director of European Process Safety Centre,
Chairman of German Commission on Process Safety**

**Conference on Chemical (Industrial) Disaster Management:
Global Perspective
February 15-16, 2010, Mumbai**



Capacity Building International
Germany



Organisational Forms of Chemical Sites

Big chemical sites have grown differently

- In Europe: big single-owner sites up to end of 20th century, many of them now splitting up to multiple enterprises within chemical parks
- In India: multiple small & medium enterprises have grown together to chemical clusters
- Both Parks and Clusters have an aggregated risk, for which no clear responsibility exists
- Parks have a common infrastructure, Clusters not



Regulations for Chemical Parks in Europe

- EU Seveso II regulation (covering major hazard sites)
 - All risks from neighbouring sites (as well as from traffic lines, environment etc) have to be considered
 - Neighbouring “Seveso-sites” may be defined as “Domino-sites” by the competent authority, leading to obligations regarding cooperation between them
 - The way how Parks are managed is not regulated



Guidelines for Chemical Parks in Europe

- German Commission on Process Safety
 - “Round Table” advising the German Federal Government
 - Guidance SFK-GS-44, download at www.kas-bmu.de
- European Process Safety Centre
 - Network funded by 40 mainly European based (petro-) chemical multinational companies
 - Report “Process Safety / Risk Management of Chemical Parks in Europe” available on request (www.epsc.org)



Safe Management of Parks: European Approach

- Adoption of “best practices” from safe operation of big single-owner sites
 - **Maintaining the common infrastructure**
 - **Managing the accumulated risk**
- Obligation of close cooperation of all Park users
 - **Clear structures and procedures**
 - **Based on contractual agreements under private law**
 - **Audited by authorities**



German/European Policy in IDRM in Chemical Parks

- Legal requirements (EU Seveso II)
 - each individual operator has to have emergency management system
 - Operators have to provide information for external disaster management authorities
- “Best Practice” (e.g. German guidance SFK-GS-44)
 - Joint emergency management for the whole Park
 - Joint fire brigade organised by infrastructure operator
 - Best option to control the Park’s overall risk



Case Study: Hoechst Chemical/Industrial Park (Germany)

- Infrastructure company (owned by major operators) responsible for emergency management and fire brigade**
- Joint Disaster Management Plan for the Park**
- Joint command centre**
- 24hrs/7 days service of “Emergency Managers” and medical centre**
- Senior managers from Park users act as Emergency Director in case of major incidents**
- Internal command with Park Fire Chief and Emergency Director. Authority may take over in case of outside impact**
- Costs are shared according to risks**



Further Principles for Safe Management of Parks (1)

- **Safety Management System (SMS)**
 - **Intrinsic conflict between the interests of global companies and the Park**
 - **Global companies strive to have a uniform SMS**
 - **Some parts of the SMS should be Park specific, e.g. hazardous works, PPE, contractor management**
 - **Differences in SMS have to be communicated, e.g. in a “Park Safety Committee”**



Further Principles for Safe Management of Parks (2)

■ Security

- **“Best Practice” is a joint security service and a perimeter fence for the whole Park**
- **High risk/vulnerability areas may need additional security**

■ Inspections by Authorities

- **“Joint services” should be inspected “only once”**
- **Contractual agreements for safe operation of the Park have to be reviewed**



Conclusion

- Chemical (Industrial) Parks are safe and economic
 - **Good cooperation and open communication is a must**
 - **Clear contractual agreements are necessary**
- Chemical (Industrial) Clusters should be transformed in Parks
 - **Creating a joint infrastructure is highly efficient (and a business model!)**
 - **Joint disaster management avoids interface problems**
 - **“Lessons learnt” from Europe may help**



Thank you for your attention!